

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pateut and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,713	02/13/2002	Henry Van Acker JR.	1791003	6378
23405	7590 12/11/2003		EXAMINER	
1150211.110	THENBERG FARLEY &	CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C		
5 COLUMBIA ALBANY. N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 12/11/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/074,713	VAN ACKER, HENRY	. ~				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	4				
,	Alvin C. Chin-Shue	3634					
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio							
Period for Reply	••	·					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. ER 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this commu ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>25 September 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
	4) Claim(s) 1,4,5,7-13,15 and 20-22 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20-22</u> is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	6) Claim(s) 1,4,5,7-13,15 and 20-22 is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.440(.)(1) (0)					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N 	18) 5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-15)					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The means for inhibiting is not described in the specification.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1,4,5,7-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrases "configured to" as set forth in claims 1,12 and 13 are vague as it is unclear what are the configurations to slow, cause and receive, respectively, being claimed.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 1,4,5,8-10,12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Erickson. Erickson shows a brake 24,26, means for supporting 100, means for attaching 97, means for movably attaching 12, activation member 14. The downward force on element 14 and the configuration of member 12 maintains, as set forth in claim 8, inhibits as set forth in claim 9.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erickson in view of Skinner. Erickson shows the claimed device with the exception of the friction pad. Skinner in column 3, line 61, teaches of providing his brake with a cover of slip resistant material to resist slippage. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the brake of Erickson with a cover/pad to resist slippage.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greenway in view of Erickson. Greenway shows the claimed apparatus with the exception of the means for supporting. Erickson shows a means for supporting at 100. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 3634

invention was made to provide the device of Greenway with a means for supporting to support a means for supporting a user connected to his device.

Newly submitted claims 20-22 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: claims 20-22 are directed to a combination of a safety device and bosun's chair, no such combination was originally presented, and the combination is distinct form the subcombination, as set forth in claim 1, as the subcombination of claim 20 does not require a means for supporting and a separate braking means which reacts to a failure to the means for supporting

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 20-22 have been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Applicant's arguments filed 9.25.03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Erickson does not have a separate means for supporting, a pivotally attached activation member or brake. It is noted that no pivotally attached activation or brake member have been claimed. It is further

Art Unit: 3634

noted that Erickson shows a means for supporting 100 that is separate from the means for braking 24,26 that slows a descent of a bosun's chair.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is 703-308-2475. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

Art Unit: 3634

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-3597.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-3008-1113.

Alvin C. Chin-Shue Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

ACS